## **Pupil premium strategy St Peter's Catholic Primary School**

1. Summary information							
School	St Peter's	Shoreham by Sea					
Academic Year	2020-21	Total PP budget	£18,485 March 20- Apr 21 £7388 approx. Apr21-Jul 21	Date of most recent PP Review	July 19		
Total number of pupils	206	Number of pupils eligible for PP	6.3% 13	Date for next internal review of this strategy	July 20		

2. Cu	rrent attainment END OF KS2 last reported data for end of 2019					
		Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)			
% ach	ieving expected standard or above in reading, writing & maths	40%	64.9%			
progr	ess in reading	1.7				
progr	ess in writing	1.2				
progress in mathematics 1.2						
3. Ba	rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)	<u> </u>				
Acade	emic barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral langu	uage skills)				
A.	Access to language – regular supported reading opportunities					
B.	Access to extra-curricular activities and trips					
C.	Behaviour – pupils with specific social and emotional needs which affect their learning rationale					
D.	Complex needs – pupils who also have a high level of SEND needs					
E	Environment – lack of additional work spaces to support intervention work					
Additi	onal barriers (including issues which also require action outside school	, such as low attendance rates)				
F	Support with homework and reading at home – capacity to do this					

G	Attendance, Support with homework and reading at home,					
4. lı	4. Intended outcomes (specific outcomes and how they will be measured)  Success criteria					
A.	100% of pupils in receipt of PPG funded support will make good progress against personal targets	100% achieve personal targets				
B.	Progress scores of PPG children will increase at the end of KS2	Progress scores at national				
C.	EYFS –Good progress is made against EYFS statements and phonics scores stay on track	Good level of development achieved				
D.	KS1 – good progress made to achieve age related scores in reading, writing and maths	Age related expectations achieved				

Previous Academic Year		2018-2019 – total spent £28069.51					
i. Quality of teac	hing for all						
Action Intended outcome		Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)				
Resources and teaching materials	PPG cohort make good progress in reading, writing and	At the end of KS2 progress scores in reading, writing and maths were above 0 in all three areas. In EYFS, KS1 and year 1 phonics, all personal	Supporting with home learning resources had a positive impact on learning and progress				
Class based staffing	maths – in line with or above national	targets were met.	Class based support has a positive affect where available. Where there is no additional complex need, progress for individuals is very good.				
ii. Targeted supp	ort	<u> </u>					
Action	Intended	Estimated impact: Did you meet the	Lessons learned	Cost			
	outcome	success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	(and whether you will continue with this approach)				
Intervention Groups – KS2 Year 5 / Year 3	Confidence increased for individuals PPG	Gains in reading ages across both cohorts was good. Children made good progress against personal target.	Class based support and targeted intervention resulted in good progress against personal targets. Interventions will run again in 2019-20	82879.32			

Action	Intended	What is the evidence and	How wi	ll you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you	review
ii. Targeted supp	1	Will act to the could be			01-11	NAM ***	
Class based staffing	line with or above national	and reduce group sizes.					
Resources and teaching materials	PPG cohort make good progress in reading, writing and maths – in	Where support is provided, evidence shows progress is good. TAs are able to provide targets support for PPG children	Termly monitoring or provision and assessment data		KC SD	Termly – Pupil Progress	
Action	Intended outcome	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?		II you ensure it is ented well?	Staff lead	When will you implementation	
i. Quality of teac	hing for all						
6. Planned exper	nditure for 2020-21						
families	progress targets - pupil confidence increased	and interventions made good progress in real writing and maths. Pupil interview responses demonstrated an increase in confidence.	reading,				
Clubs and support for	Individuals meet	pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate the control of the cont	•	If finances permit, some s	support will be offered again		711.60
Action	outcome	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet success criteria? (Include impact	on		will continue with this approach)		Cost
iii. Other approac	Intended	Estimated impacts Did you most	tho	Lessons learned			Cost
	progress in reading, writing and maths – in line with or above	expectations and progress was good – 1.2		scores – use interventions	s again		
Year 6 maths booster	cohort make good	KS2 maths results - 60% achieved age relat	ed	Interventions boosted con	fidence. Results e	xceeded predicted	413.49

rationale for this choice?

Where support is provided, evidence

shows progress is good

outcome

national

PPG cohort make good

progress in reading, in

line with or above

Supported teaching year

1 and 2 phonics

implemented well?

Termly pupil progress checks

Termly provision monitoring

implementation?

Termly – Pupil Progress

FGB meetings – termly

KC / SLT

Total budgeted cost				£18,475 March 20- Apr 21

## 7. Additional detail

PPG funding is calculated over a financial year which makes it difficult to report on exact figures over an academic year. The costs of providing supported teaching in KS1 and KS2 as well as the provision of KS2 breakfast groups is not covered by PPG funds. PPG funding only covers a small percentage of the costs.

We are not required to report on the impact of expenditure for 2019-20 as the school was closed to some year groups due to Covid 19. The last review of expenditure completed is reported and is for 2018-19.

All PPG funding has been allocated to additional teacher and TA support in classes to enable PPG children to access the curriculum. PPG children are also supported in other ways with other resources and some have access to counselling. This is not funded through PPG allocation as funding is not sufficient.